Approved For Release 2006/07/14 SECRET CIA-RDP84-00780R003190480905-2 1 2 2 2 3

DD/S 68-1224

MAR 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Training

SUBJECT

: Inspector General's Survey of the Office of Training

REFERENCE

: (a) Memo dtd 8 Mar 68 to DD/S fr Exec. Dir. -Comp.,

same subject

(b) Memo dtd 5 Feb 68 to Exec. Dir. -Comp. fr DD/S, same subject

- 1. I am attaching a copy of reference (a) in which the Executive Director requests that we reconsider our response to Recommendation No. 5 of the Inspector General's survey. Would you please review the problem in the light of the Executive Director's comments, and let me have the results of this review no later than 8 April 1968. Any proposal for an intra-Agency group or committee should preserve the present command line responsibility.
- 2. Paragraph 4 of reference (a) discusses the many items contained in the survey with respect to the Language School. I know that these matters are receiving your attention and would like to have a progress report no later than i4 June 1968.

(caguad) John W. Coafey

R. L. Bennerman Deputy Director for Support

MORI/	CDF
Pages	_6 thru
Pages 11	

Atts

References

SA-DD/S:RBW:dlk (12 Mar 68)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse w/t of Att (DD/S 68-1203 & DD/S 68-0498)

DD/S Subject w/O of DD/S 68-1203 & w/cy of DD/S 68-0498

1 - DD/S Chrono

Approved For Release 2006/07/14 ; CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7

16 Jan 68

re Mr. Coffey's note to you, according to my follow-up (for 22 Jan 68), OTR submitted their comments 1/11 - 68-0182.

p.

STAT

Approved For Release 2006/07/14 CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7
TRANSMITTAL SLIP 12 March 1968

TO: Mr. Bannerman via Mr. Coffey

ROOM NO. BUILDING

REMARKS:

Recommend your signature.

STAT

STAT

Approved Form No. 241 REPLACES FORM 36-8
Approved Form No. 241 REPLACES FORM 3

1	OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP NAME AND ADDRESS. DATE INITIALS DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: OTR TOTA FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER		UNCLASSIFIÉD		CONFIDENT	TIAI	,	SECRET	
NAME AND ADDRESS. DATE INITIALS DATE DA	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: ATT 6 Survey TOTA FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE		CENTI	RAL IN	ITELLIGENCE AG	SENC	Y		
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE CEMARKS: CONTRACTOR OF THE CONCURRENCE TO SIGNATURE TO TR T	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: OTTO FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE		OFFI	CIAL	ROUTING	SI	LIP .		
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE CEMARKS: CONTRACTOR OF THE CONCURRENCE TO SIGNATURE TO TR T	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: OTTO FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE	0	NAME AN	D ADD	RESS .		ATE	INITIALS	
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE THE CONCURRENCE TO THE C	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE COMMENT FILE CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE COMMENT FILE CONCURRENCE TO FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE	1							
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE THE CONCURRENCE TO THE CONCURRENCE CONCURRENCE TO THE CONCURRENCE CONCURRENCE TO THE CONCURRENCE THE CONCURRENCE TO	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: TG JUNE FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE		-		H		<u> </u>		
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE CEMARKS: CONTROL OF THE COMMENDATION THE COMMENT OF THE COMMENDATION TO THE COMMENT OF THE COMMENDATION TO THE COMMENT OF THE COMMENDATION TO THE COMENDATION TO THE COMMENDATION TO THE COMMENDATI	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: AUTHOR OF THE PROPAGE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION SIGNATURE TO TO TO THE PROPAGE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION SIGNATURE TO TO TO THE PROPAGE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION SIGNATURE TO TO THE PROPAGE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE	2							
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: OTR TOTA FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE CONCURRENCE TO FOUNDATION TO TO THE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE	3							
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE COMARKS: CONCURRENCE TO SETURN TO SENDER	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: Authors OTR THE Authors THE	4							
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE THE CONCURRENCE TO THE THE TO THE THE TO THE THE TO THE	ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: OTTO FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE	5							
APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE CEMARKS: CHARLES TO SETURN TO SENDER	APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: OTR TOTAL FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE	6							
comment file RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Cemarks: Cemarks: Comment File RETURN SIGNATURE COMMENT SIGNATURE COMMENT FILE RETURN SIGNATURE COMMENT SIGNATURE	COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE EMARKS: OTR TOTA FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE		ACTION	1	DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE	REPLY	
concurrence information signature emarks: Concurrence information signature that I is survey or TR? TWC FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE emarks: Gutting Men on forma that I 6 Auroly OTR FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE		APPROVAL						
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE						RECUMM	ENDATION	
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE				FILE		RETURN	ENDATION	
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE	ler			FILE	-	RETURN		
DATE		Ren			FILE INFORMATION TO T		RETURN		
l l	UNICH ASSIDIED CONTENDANTAL COORDINATE	Ren ()	CONCURRENCE marks: OTI	R HERE 1	FILE INFORMATION TO RETURN TO	W SEN	A L	re	

Approved For Release 2006/07/14 : CIA-RD-07-80R002400+80005-7

	ROUTING	3 AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)				
Response to Inspector G	eneral's	Survey		
ROM:			EXTENSION	NO. DTR-9998
Director of Training 819	1000 G	lebe		DATE 11 Jan 68
O: (Officer designation, room number, and wilding)	DA	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. DD/S 7D18 HQS				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
i 1.				
2.				_
13.				
14.				
15.		ı	I	1

FORM 3-62 610 USE PREVIOUS SECRET CONFIDENTIAL INTERNAL UNCLASSIFIED Approved For Release 2006/07/14: CIA-RDP84-00788 F0094 00180005-7

Approved For Release 2006/07/14 : CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7

SECRET

100/5 68-0182

1 1 JAN 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Support

SUBJECT

: Response to Inspector General's Survey of the

Office of Training

- 1. We feel that the survey is a well-done study with many pertinent and useful recommendations. The recommendations have been carefully reviewed and each school and staff affected has had the opportunity to comment. Of the 36 recommendations, we concur with 28, partly concur with 2, and disagree with 6.
 - 2. We concur with the following recommendations:

1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 33, 35, and 36.

Recommendation #1 - We should comment that the DD/P has already done a great deal to ensure that operations officers in the CS are made aware of the advantages of a tour of duty in OTR. He has been very cooperative in supplying a number of excellent instructors during recent months and we wish to express our appreciation for his efforts.

25X1

Recommendation #19 - We believe that there is likely to be a problem of "status" in most working situations which bring the wives of staff personnel into juxtaposition with other contract personnel. While we are reluctant to believe that the majority of contract wives deliberately "use" the staff status of their husbands for self-aggrandizement, the mere fact that the husband's status is known to other contract personnel who have no other Agency ties,

Approved For Release 2006/07/14 : CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7 **SECRFT**

tends to create a social barrier. While we are not entirely convinced that a careful briefing of contract wives in the Language School - as suggested by the Inspector General - will be a complete cure for what is probably a deep-seated problem, we will conduct the briefings.

Recommendation #20 - Concur. The Language School is already taking steps to implement an in-house training program for contract and staff instructors.

Recommendation #21 - We concur that it would be desirable to have the same language proficiency rating system for the Agency and the Department of State. A study as to the comparability of the two rating systems is now under way and we hope that an equitable method of converting our adjectival ratings to the numerical ratings used by State will be forthcoming.

	Recommendation #27 - We agree that a promotion panel be	
25X1	established at the to consider promotion	
	recommendations on a periodic basis for all instructors with the	
	"D" career designation or any other instructors on rotation. Once	
	the new panel is set up, its purpose and operating procedures will	
	be made known to all who will be affected by the panel's actions.	
	Even though there has been no dearth of promotion recommenda-	
	tions originating at the Station and sent on to the CS promotion	
	panels, we agree with the IG that a panel at the local level should	
	have a favorable psychological impact.	
		051/
0574	Recommendation #35 - Regardless of whether the	25X ²
25X1	is transferred to the control of the DD/P or remains	
	under DD/S control, we believe it appropriate to undertake a detailed	
	examination of the staffing requirements which are needed to operate	
25X1	the efficiently.	

3. We concur in part with recommendations #2 and #18.

Recommendation #2 - Although we concur in principle that OTR should maintain a cadre of experienced training officers who are OTR careerists, we believe that this applies mainly to the Language School and the School of International Communism. We are convinced that CS training in particular should be done for the most part by officers who rotate from the CS. We also favor the idea of rotational assignments - to a somewhat lesser degree - in the

SECRET

Approved For Release 2006/07/14 : CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7

SECRET

Intelligence School and the Support School. While we do not feel that any precise ratio of OTR careerist positions versus assignments filled by rotation from outside OTR should be developed, we will maintain appropriate cadres.

Recommendation #18 -

- a. The Registrar Staff is already assuming those reporting and administrative functions for the Language School which the survey recommended it perform.
- b. We concur with the need for additional staff linguists and clerical support personnel but at this time we do not have the positions available. In conjunction with other administrative recommendations for the Language School, we will review the problem of additional personnel.

c. Concur.

- d. Rather than appoint a Chief of Language Faculty, we favor the selection of a senior person to act as the Executive Officer of the Language School. Except for language instruction, it is contemplated that such an officer would have major responsibility for the administration of the Language School and would conduct selected liaison and coordination activities. The present Chief of the Language School is first of all a trained teacher and linguist and we believe the remedy here is to allow him time to concentrate in the area of his best talents. Thus, he would assume the role of Chief of Language Faculty in addition to his role as Chief of the Language School.
- 4. We do not concur with the following recommendations:
 - 4, 5, 22, 28, 32, and 34.

Recommendation #4 - This recommendation requests us to consider the appointment of an instructional coordinator in OTR. His suggested areas of concern would include: academic staff meetings, duplication in training programs, communication between the schools on substance teaching and techniques, scheduling of courses, and the quality of instruction. His other suggested area of responsibility would be that of the main focal point of coordination between OTR and its customers.

We feel that the establishment of this position would be counterproductive and that the rationale for its existence is of questionable validity. Communication among the schools has over

Approved For Release 2006/07/14 : CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7 **SECRFT**

the past year been increasing in frequency and variety with the result that many training problems have already been resolved. New initiatives have been taken to ensure timely and effective collaboration on such matters as classroom scheduling, duplication of course content, training objectives, programmed instruction developments, the use of senior guest lecturers, etc. Much of this business is conducted at our weekly staff meetings. For example, recent staff meetings have featured a series of presentations by the individual school and staff chiefs listing their near and long term objectives with plans and procedures to accomplish them.

Relative to coordination with customers we have been particularly sensitive to their needs and responsive in accommodating them. Close, effective, and continuing liaison is held between school representatives and the appropriate directorate customers. We feel that this extra OTR coordination is good and getting better. This is being done without a "Training Coordinator" or "Academic Dean." Even if a position were available and an officer with an appropriate mix of unusual qualifications identified, the position would create an unnecessary echelon between the Office of the Director of Training and the school chiefs and this echelon would impair rather than strengthen pedagogical and coordination matters.

Recommendation #5 - This recommendation proposes the redesignation of the Training Selection Board as the Agency Training Committee with broadened responsibilities. The rationale for this recommendation is heavily influenced by the alleged problem of training objectives. We believe that this problem has been exaggerated in this and other recent reports. We feel that major training objectives are in fact well known and understood within the individual schools and by appropriate personnel in the customer offices. This understanding is a result of continuous and effective liaison at the working level, Senior Training Officer level, and executive officer levels. An Agency training committee composed of a membership as senior as that recommended would not be an effective working body for resolving problems which it was created to solve, namely, the day-to-day liaison which results in established training requirements, continually refined training objectives, and course modifications. The committee would also impose an unnecessary bureaucratic layer which would hamper rather than facilitate this liaison.


Recommendation #22 - This recommendation requests the Director of Central Reference to resume operation of the Language School library and proposes that the Office of Training transfer one

ceiling position to CRS for this purpose. We agree that the library is in need of attention and would be pleased to receive the nomination of a qualified librarian from CRS. This nomination would be appropriately made approximately one year hence upon the projected retirement of the incumbent. We do not feel, however, that it is necessary for the Office of Training to transfer a ceiling position to accomplish the assignment of a new librarian. This OTR position is now being used for the present librarian and we can accept the librarian nominated by CRS on a rotational assignment without the technical requirement of actually transferring the ceiling position.

TR careerist. Ex- nuity can be main- careful programming	policy requiring that one of on the Operations Training
TR careerist. Ex- nuity can be main- careful programming	on the Operations Trainin
TR careerist. Ex- nuity can be main- careful programming	Oil tile Operations frami
nuity can be main- careful programming	always be occupied
careful programming	perience has shown that e
	tained in these important
f pedagogical exper-	of personnel rotations and
period, 1964-1965,	
eerists who met the	two of these positions wer
ed by Clandestine	qualifications for the jobs
aton that these senior	qualifications for the jobs
itee mat mese semot	Services officers. We sh
eerists since such	supervisory positions will
isitions become vacant.	officers may not be availa
el who are qualified	These positions should be
eference to career	by rank, experience, and
	service designation.
[*	
I	

5 SECRET

Approved For Release 2006/07/14: CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7 **SECRET**



25X1

Approved For Release 2006/07/15 CAREP84-00780R002100180005-7

DD/S 67-6227

07 DEC 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Training

SUBJECT

: Inspector General's Survey of the Office of Training

1. I am forwarding herewith three copies of the subject survey. Copies of pertinent segments of the survey have been forwarded to the Deputy Director for Plans, and he has been requested to comment on specific recommendations:

Paragraphs 8 through 15 on pages 2 through 5, Recommendation No. 1;

Paragraphs 32 through 38 on pages 42 through 45, Recommendations Nos. 13 and 14;

Paragraphs 1 through 14 on pages 80 through 85, Recommendations Nos. 34, 35, and 36.

2. Before you discuss any of these recommendations with personnel outside of your Office, or prepare written comments, I would like to get together with you and go over the various recommendations so that we may consider them from more or less the same point of view. I would hope that we could do this initial review before the holidays, and would like you to let me know when you are ready to talk about them.

SIGNED R. L. Bannerman

R. L. Bannerman Deputy Director for Support

Atts

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse w/3cys of Att (DD/S 67-6139)

✓ - DD/S Subject

1 - DD/S Chrono

SA-DD/S:RBW:dlk (5 Dec 67)

GROUP t
Excluded from automatic
downgrating and
declassification

Approved For Release 2006/07/14 : CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7

d For Release 2006/07/14 : CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7

010/567-6206

1 DEC 367

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Support

SUBJECT

: Inspector General's Survey of the Office of Training

1. You have received copies of the Inspector General's report of survey of the Office of Training. Portions of its text are of concern to the Deputy Director for Plans and certain of its recommendations are for his action. Copies of the pertinent segments have been forwarded to him, specifically:

Paragraphs 8 through 15 on pages 2 through 5, Recommendation No. 1;

Paragraphs 32 through 38 on pages 42 through 45, Recommendations Nos. 13 and 14;

Paragraphs 1 through 14 on pages 80 through 85, Recommendations Nos. 34, 35, and 36.

2. Please furnish me within 60 days a summary of actions taken or comments on the recommendations that are addressed to the Support Services.

L. K. White

Executive Director-Comptroller

25X1



25X1

ced For Release 2006/07/14: CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7

INSPECTOR GENERAL'S SURVEY

OF THE

OFFICE OF TRAINING

November 1967

Recommendation No. 1	
	25x1
Recommendation No. 2	
It is recommended that:	
The Deputy Director for Support instruct the Director of Training to continue to maintain a cadre of experienced training officers in OTR.	ok
Recommendation No. 3	
It is recommended that:	
The Deputy Director for Support request the Deputy Director for Intelligence to provide the services of a professional librarian from the CLA Library to review present library requirements of OTR.	e.

ILLEGIB

Approved For Release 2006 17 12 CIA-RD 884-05750 R002100180005-7

consider the appointment of an instructional coordinator in OTR.

The Deputy Director for Support instruct the Director of Training to

Recommendation No. 4

It is recommended that:

Ι	I	ıL	E	G	Ι	В

Recommendation No. 5

It is recommended that:

The Deputy Director for Support, in coordination with the Deputy Directors for Intelligence, Plans, and Science and Technology, propose to the Executive Director-Comptroller that the Training Selection Board be redesignated as the Agency Training Committee and that its responsibilities be broadened as necessary to enable it to function in that capacity.

Recommendation No. 6

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training review the currency, applicability, and completeness of and regulations in the 18 series and submit appropriate revisions to the Deputy Director for Support for publication.

25X1

Recommendation No. 7



It is recommended that:

The Director of Training:

- a. Discontinue the review of nominees for the Midcareer Executive Development Course by the Training Selection Board.
- b. In proposing revisions of Agency regulations on training as recommended in Recommendation No. 6, delete from the regulations the responsibility of the Chairman, Training Selection Board, for approving nominees for the Midcareer Executive Development Course.

Recommendation No. 8

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training establish appropriate criteria for determining the types of training that shall be entered on each employee's training record.

SFCRFT

Recommendation No. 9	
It is recommended that:	
The Director of Training	25X1
conducting the Chiefs of Station Seminar and assign that responsibility to a senior instructor of the Headquarters Training Branch.	25X1
Recommendation No. 10	
It is recommended that:	
The Director of Training request the Director of Security to schedule an audio countermeasure and physical security survey of the Fraining Base.	25X1
Recommendation No. 11	
It is recommended that:	
The Director of Training instruct the Chief, to establish controls limiting access in Handbook Training Base as prescribed	25X1 25X1 25X1
Recommendation No. 12	
It is recommended that:	
The Director of Training:	
	25X1

SECRET
Approved For Release 2006/07/14: CIA-RDP84-00780R002100180005-7



-5-

V	Recommendation	No.	. 15

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training require the Chief Training Base to prepare and submit to the Chief, Covert Training outlines of training programs before the beginning of instruction.

Recommendation No. 16

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training request the Deputy Director for Plans to develop a means of providing to the Chief, Covert Training evaluations of the effectiveness of training as observed in the performance of those trained.

Recommendation No. 17

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training request the Agency's Language Development Committee to:

- a. Prepare and issue guidelines on the planning of language training and the preparation of language training requirements.
- b. Formulate over-all policy proposals on the use of external language training as opposed to internal.
- c. Undertake on a priority basis studies designed to identify those languages in which the Language Training School should maintain an instructional capability and to determine the level of capability required in each such language.

Recommendation No. 18

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training include the following steps among the measures he is taking to improve management and administration of the Language School:

25X1

ILLEGIB

- a. Transfer from the Language Training School to the Registrar Staff those administrative and reporting functions that the Registrar Staff now performs for other OTR schools.
- b. Transfer to the staffing complement of the Language Training School positions for at least two more staff linguists and two more clerical/support personnel.
- c. Direct the Chief, Language Training School to ensure that closer supervision is maintained by staff linguists of the teaching done by contract instructors.
 - d. Appoint a Chief of Language Faculty.
- e. Direct the Chief, Language Training School to delineate in writing the duties of contract personnel, particularly those with supervisory responsibilities.
- f. Require the holding of more frequent staff meetings in the Language Training School and within the individual language departments.
- g. Take the lead in establishing the practice of more frequent visits to the Language Training School by senior OTR officials.

Recommendation No. 19

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training instruct the Chief, Language Training School to have the wives of Agency employees hired as instructors at the Language Training School briefed carefully on their work and working conditions, with special attention to the relationship between these wives and the other contract personnel.

Recommendation No. 20

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training require the Chief, Language Training School to ensure compliance with the policy of the Office of Training requiring teacher training for contract and staff instructors.

Recommendation No. 21

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training bring the language proficiency rating system into line with the numerical system used by the Department of State and propose changes in headquarters regulations to reflect this change and to define the numerical ratings in terms descriptive of the requirements for obtaining them. which all its

Recommendation No. 22

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training:

a. Request the Director of Central Reference Service to resume operation of the Language Training School library.

b. Transfer one ceiling position from the Office of Training to Central Reference Service for this purpose.

Recommendation No. 23

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training prepare a notice periodically for general distribution in Headquarters describing the facilities of the language laboratory and the hours of operation and soliciting comments and suggestions from employees on improvements which might add to its usefulness.

Recommendation No. 24

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training arrange with the Office of Computer Services to provide backstopping of the Management Training Faculty in Automatic Data Processing instruction.

Recommendation No. 25

It is recommended that:

Approved For Release 2006/07/14 FOIAR DP84-00780R002100180005-7

ILLEGIB

The Director of Training request the Director of Personnel to schedule an early classification survey of the Clerical Training Faculty.

	(Recommendation No. 26
	Recommendation No. 26 The second of the sec
-	Mare W.
	The Deputy Director for Support direct that action be taken to hasten
	the completion of Agency manuals on correspondence and mailing procedures.
	Recommendation No. 27
	It is recommended that:
	The Director of Training instruct the to: 25X1
	a. Establish a personnel panel to consider recommendations for promotion of instructors with Clandestine Services career designations.
	b. Make known to those affected the existence of the panel, its purpose, and its operating procedures.
	- X Recommendation No. 28 1000 CONCUM
	It is recommended that:
	The Director of Training establish the policy that at least one of the three senior supervisory positions on the Operations Training Staff (Phase I) will be occupied by an experienced OTR careerist.
1	
	Recommendation No. 29
	It is recommended that:
	The Deputy Director for Support initiate action to request the Director
	of Central Intelligence to approve blanket exceptions 25x1
	to Agency policy which expects 25X1

employees to retire voluntarily upon reaching age 60 or as soon thereafter as

they are eligible for optional retirement.

Recommendation No. 30	· OK	
It is recomme	nded that:	
The Director of	of Training:	
	to establish	25X1
as standard op	perating procedure the requirement that firemen will	
put on their as	sbestos suits prior to the arrival or departure of	
aircraft.		
b. R	Request the Director of Security to conduct another	
assessment of		25X1
	lity with particular reference to the adequacy of a variable and to its methods of operation.	
equipment now	(a (arrabio ma co ris monosis or operation)	
/n		
Recommendation No. 31		
It is recomme	ended that:	
The Director	of Training direct that an independent review be made	
of the staffing and function	oning of the Supply Branch	25X1
with a view towar	rd manpower savings through redistribution of workload.	25X1
	to the state of th	
Recommendation No. 32	(VANSORT A)	****** *
T	The state of the s	
It is recomme	ended that:	
	of Training review the need for students to wear military	
uniforms	except on days when field training is	25X1
scheduled.		
n N 22		
Recommendation No. 33	<u>}</u>	
It is recomme	ended that:	
The Director	of Training instruct	25X1
to follow standard Army	practices on the issuing and reissuing of military clothing.	

-10-Recommendation No. 34 It is recommended that: The Director of Training: 25X1 Operations a. Transfer on an experimental Course, Phase II, 25X1 basis. b. If the experiment demonstrates that the training may ILLEGIB continue to be given at the Station, negotiate with the Deputy Director #25X1 for Plans for the transfer along with the attendant ceiling positions, to the Clandestine Services. ILLEGIB for come Recommendation No. 35 ILLEGIB It is recommended that: 25X1 The Deputy Director for Plans, upon assuming control 25X1 direct that an examination be made of the staffing and overhead requirements for operation of the Base as a facility of the Technical Services Division.

Recommendation No. 36

It is recommended that:

The Director of Training direct the to establish a staff duty officer system at the Base to ensure the presence on Base of a staff officer during all non-duty hours during which unsupervised students are present on Base.

25X1